

Note

On Saturation with Splines

O. SHISHA

Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR G. G. LORENTZ ON THE
OCCASION OF HIS SIXTH-FIFTH BIRTHDAY

1.

There is a whole class of results stating that if one can approximate a function very closely by splines of degree $\leq k$, then the function reduces essentially to a polynomial of degree $\leq k$. One variant of this class is the theorem below for which we give a proof using an argument employed in [11], [12] and [13].

2.

Let k be an integer ≥ 0 , and let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let $x_j^{(n)} = a + j(b - a)n^{-1}, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$, so that $I_{n,j} = (x_{j-1}^{(n)}, x_j^{(n)}), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are n congruent subintervals of (a, b) . For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let S_n be the set of all real functions defined on $[a, b] - \{x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\}$ which in each $I_{n,j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, coincide with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$.

THEOREM. *Let f be a real function defined on (a, b) . Suppose, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there is an $s_n \in S_n$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sup_{x \in [a, b] - \{x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\}} |f(x) - s_n(x)| = o(1/n^{k+1}).$$

Then f coincides on (a, b) with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$.

3.

Proof. We assume, as we may, $a = 0, b = 1$. Let

$$\epsilon_n = n^{k+1} \sup_{x \in [0, 1] - \{0, 1/n, 2/n, \dots, 1\}} |f(x) - s_n(x)|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

so that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $m \geq n$, we have (with $s_n(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} s_n(x)$)

$$\begin{aligned} |s_m(0) - s_n(0)| &\leq \sup_{0 < x < 1/m} |\{f(x) - s_n(x)\} - \{f(x) - s_m(x)\}| \\ &\leq (\epsilon_n/n^{k+1}) + (\epsilon_m/m^{k+1}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $s_n(0)$ has a finite limit which we denote $f(0)$. Then, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $|f(0) - s_n(0)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |s_m(0) - s_n(0)| \leq \epsilon_n/n^{k+1}$. We define similarly $s_n(1)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $f(1)$ and have, for $n = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1] - \{1/n, 2/n, \dots, (n-1)/n\}} |f(x) - s_n(x)| = \epsilon_n/n^{k+1}.$$

We prove now that f is continuous in $[0, 1]$. Let $0 \leq c \leq 1$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq 3$. Choose an integer $n > (3/\epsilon)^{1/(k+1)}$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1] - \{1/n, 2/n, \dots, (n-1)/n\}} |f(x) - s_n(x)| \leq 1/n^{k+1}$$

and such that c is not of the form j/n , $0 < j < n$, j an integer. Let j_1 be the integer satisfying $(j_1 - 1)/n \leq c \leq j_1/n$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq n$. There is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|h| < \delta$ and $c + h \in ((j_1 - 1)/n, j_1/n)$, then $|s_n(c + h) - s_n(c)| < \epsilon/3$. For such an h ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(c + h) - f(c)| &\leq |f(c + h) - s_n(c + h)| + |s_n(c + h) - s_n(c)| \\ &\quad + |s_n(c) - f(c)| < (2/n^{k+1}) + (\epsilon/3) < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $-\infty < \alpha < \beta < \infty$ and let g be a real function defined and bounded on $[\alpha, \beta]$. For x and $x + (k + 1)h$ in $[\alpha, \beta]$ consider the $(k + 1)$ th difference

$$\Delta_h^{k+1} g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^{k+1-j} \binom{k+1}{j} g(x + jh).$$

It is 0 if g coincides in $[\alpha, \beta]$ with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$. For every $t \geq 0$ set

$$\omega_{k+1}(g; \alpha, \beta; t) = \sup_{\substack{\alpha \leq x \leq \beta \\ \alpha \leq x + (k+1)h \leq \beta \\ |h| \leq t}} |\Delta_h^{k+1} g(x)|.$$

A well-known tool we shall use is the fact [14, p. 104] that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_{k+1}(g; \alpha, \beta; t)/t^{k+1} = 0$$

implies that $\omega_{k+1}(g; \alpha, \beta; t) = 0$ for every $t \geq 0$.

Let $0 < |h| \leq t$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq x + (k + 1)h \leq 1$. Following [11], [12] and [13], let n_0 be the largest positive integer n for which the closed line-segment L joining x to $x + (k + 1)h$ lies in some interval $[(j - 1)/n, j/n]$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $(k + 1)|h| > (6n_0)^{-1}$. For otherwise if say $L \subseteq I_0 = [(j_0 - 1)/n_0, j_0/n_0]$, $1 \leq j_0 \leq n_0$, then L would lie either in one of the two closed halves of I_0 or in its (open) middle third. In each case the maximality of n_0 is contradicted.

Extend the definition of s_{n_0} (if $n_0 > 1$) so as to be continuous in I_0 . Since $s_{n_0}^k$ coincides there with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$, we have $\Delta_h^{k+1}s_{n_0}(x) = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_h^{k+1}f(x)| &= |\Delta_h^{k+1}[f(x) - s_{n_0}(x)]| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} |f(x + jh) - s_{n_0}(x + jh)| \\ &\leq 2^{k+1} \sup_{(j_0-1)/n_0 < y < j_0/n_0} |f(y) - s_{n_0}(y)| \leq 2^{k+1} \epsilon_{n_0}/n_0^{k+1} \\ &\leq \epsilon_{n_0} [12(k+1)|h|]^{k+1} \leq \eta(t) t^{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta(t) = [12(k+1)]^{k+1} \max_{n > [6(k+1)t]^{-1}} \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0 + 0.$$

Thus for every $t > 0$,

$$\omega_{k+1}(f; 0, 1; t)/t^{k+1} \leq \eta(t)$$

and therefore $\omega_{k+1}(f; 0, 1; t) = 0$ for every $t \geq 0$. Hence $\Delta_h^{k+1}f(x) = 0$ whenever $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $0 \leq x + (k + 1)h \leq 1$ and therefore

$$\Delta_n^j f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } j \geq k + 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq x + jh \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

This together with the continuity of f in $[0, 1]$ imply that f coincides there with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$. (For [7, Chapter I] the sequence of Bernstein polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x) &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^n f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \binom{n}{j} x^j (1-x)^{n-j} \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^n [\Delta_{1/n}^j f(0)] \binom{n}{j} x^j, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

converges uniformly to $f(x)$ in $[0, 1]$. By (1),

$$B_n(x) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^k [\Delta_{1/n}^j f(0)] \binom{n}{j} x^j, \quad n = k + 1, k + 2, \dots,$$

and hence $f(x) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(x)$ must coincide in $[0, 1]$ with a polynomial of degree $\leq k$.)

REFERENCES

1. J. H. AHLBERG, E. N. NILSON AND J. L. WALSH, Complex cubic splines, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (1967), 391-413.
2. J. H. AHLBERG, E. N. NILSON AND J. L. WALSH, "The Theory of Splines and Their Applications," Academic Press, New York and London, 1967.
3. G. BIRKHOFF, Local spline approximation by moments, *J. Math. Mech.* **16** (1967), 987-990.
4. R. DEVORE AND F. RICHARDS, Saturation and inverse theorems for spline approximation, in "Spline Functions and Approximation Theory," pp. 73-82, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel and Stuttgart, 1973.
5. R. DEVORE AND F. RICHARDS, The degree of approximation by Chebyshevian splines, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **181** (1973), 401-418.
6. D. GAIER, Saturation bei Spline-Approximation und Quadratur, *Numer. Math.* **16** (1970), 129-140.
7. G. G. LORENTZ, "Bernstein Polynomials," University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
8. F. RICHARDS, On the saturation class for spline functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **33** (1972), 471-476.
9. K. SCHERER, On the best approximation of continuous functions by splines, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **7** (1970), 418-423.
10. K. SCHERER, Characterization of generalized Lipschitz classes by best approximation with splines, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **11** (1974), 283-304.
11. O. SHISHA, A characterization of functions having Zygmund's property, *J. Approximation Theory* **9** (1973), 395-397.
12. O. SHISHA, Characterization of smoothness properties of functions by means of their degree of approximation by splines, *J. Approximation Theory* **12** (1974), 365-371.
13. O. SHISHA, On the degree of approximation by step functions, *J. Approximation Theory* **12** (1974), 435-436.
14. A. F. TIMAN, "Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable," Macmillan, New York, 1963.